

DONOR FEEDBACK FORM

Basic Information

Name of the referee	Mr Nayan Chhaganbhai Panchal
Designation	Director
Current organization	JATAN INDUSTRIES
Email ID	jatanind@gmail.com
Contact Number	+91-9825351050
Name of the organization for which you are providing a reference	Parivartan Samaj Vikas Samiti
Name of your point of contact within the organization	+91-9511800162

About the engagement with the organization

Question	Response
What was the project for which funds were disbursed?	Medical Awareness Health Camp
What was the location of the project?	Chhattisgarh
What was the overall quantum of funds disbursed for this project? (If you wish to keep this information confidential, please provide a range within which the project budget would fall. E.g.: 40-50 lakhs)	10-11 Lakhs

Please answer the following questions:

L Effectiveness of Solution

Please rate the effectiveness and quality of the solution offered with respect to the following: Neither Satisfied Very Dissatisfied Very Satisfied satisfied nor dissatisfied (A) (B) dissatisfied (A+)(C) (B+)✓ □ The clarity, thoroughness and technical correctness of the solution that was proposed by the implementing agency ✓ □ The completeness of the offered solution with respect to the goals and objectives outlined in the needs assessment report of the project ✓ □ The effectiveness and sustainability of the offered solution in

Page 1 of 5



addressing the		1,			
identified problem					
Please provide any a	additional con	nments:			
r icaco pro-					
		f this soo	tion (C hoing th	e lowest & A+	the highest):
Please provide an	overall rating	for this sec	ion (C being th	ie iowest a ii-	
Α					
II. Project Impl	ementation		- implemented v	with respect to the	he following:
II. Project Impl Please rate the man	ner in which t	he project wa	Neither	vitir respect to th	Manu
	Very	Dissatisfied	satisfied nor	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
	dissatisfied	(B)	dissatisfied	(A)	(A+)
	(C)	(0)	(B+)		(/ .)
The extent to					
which the project					
costs remained					✓ □
within pre-decided					
budgetary					
constraints					
The effectiveness					
with which pre- decided project					
schedules were				✓ □	
followed during					
actual					
implementation					
The effectiveness				320	
with which					
changes in cost,					
scope, schedule or expected					✓ □
quality were		_			
managed during		***			
project					
implementation					
m	additional co	mmente:			
Please provide any					
All the planned acti	vities was imr	lemented as p	per planned budg	getary allocation	1.
All the planned active Please provide an	overall ratin	g for this sed	tion (C being th	he lowest & A+	the highest):
A+					
III. Manageme	nt Team		/D h = in a th = 1=	west and A+ the	highest). A+
П. Manageme Please p <mark>rovide an e</mark>	overall rating i	for this section	i (B- being the lo	WEST AIR AT THE	mgnosty. A
Please rate the m	anagament to	am assidned	to this project	with respect to	the following
Please rate the m criteria:	anayement	Jan addignod	.5 5		
Official.	(1)		Neither		Very
	Very	Las all ned		Satisfied	Satisfied
	dissatisfied (C)	(8)	dissatisfied	(A)	(A+)
	(12)		(B+)		
The adequacy of					
				-6.7/l	



team strength to undertake and implement the project			
The adequacy of skills and capacities of the team members to undertake and implement the project	<u> </u>		✓ □
The effectiveness of the team in resolving ongoing issues that came up during project implementation, without impacting the project schedule or budget		√ □ .	
Overall performance of the team with respect to project management and implementation			✓ □

Please provide an	y additiona	commen	is:
-------------------	-------------	--------	-----

Need based intervention for the vulnerable community

Please provide an overall rating for this section (C being the lowest & A+ the highest):
_A

- IV. Monitoring, Reporting and Evaluation Processes
- a. Please rate the Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E) process that was followed for the project with respect to the following:

	Very dissatisfied (B-)	Dissatisfied (B-)	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied (B)	Satisfied (A)	Very Satisfied (A+)
The robustness of the M&E methodology that was adopted for the project				✓ □	
The timeliness of the progress reports that were generated by the M&E process				√ □	
The usefulness of the information provided through				DUS	✓ □



the progress					
reports The extent to which the M&E process helped reveal shortcomings and bottlenecks that hindered progress of the project				✓ □ .	
Please provide any a	dditional com	ments:			
Good team work Please provide an of A+ b. Please rate the it respect to the following and the second seco	final report th				
	Very dissatisfied (C)	Dissatisfied (B)	Neither satisfied no dissatisfied (B+)		Very Satisfied (A+)
The accuracy and objectivity of information provided in the final report				✓ □	
The completeness of the information provided in the final report				✓ □	
The overall quality of the final report submitted by the implementing agency				✓ □	
	dditional com	ments:			
As 1 project is completed overall satisfactory per Please provide an orange. A c. Please rate the integral and integral a	eted and foun erformance ov verall rating	d satisfactory verall. for this secti	on (C being the	e lowest & A+ t	the highest):
	Very dissatisfied (C)	Dissatisfied (E	Neither satisfied no dissatisfied (B+)		Very Satisfied (A+)



The robustness of the impact assessment methodology adopted			✓ □
The completeness of the information regarding impacts created by the project provided by the impact assessment conducted		✓ □	
The quality of the content provided in the impact report		✓ □	

Please provide any additional commen	ts:
--------------------------------------	-----

No Need Any Comments

Please provide an overall rating for this section (C being the lowest & A+ the highest):

A+

Would you be willing to partner with this organization again, if a similar opportunity came up? Yes

